Reference	Consultee	Comment	Officer Recommendation	Licensing Board Decision
	Parish Council	 Town and Parish Councils should be listed as consultees in Appendices D and in the list of Responsible Authorities 	 Recommend no change as the Consultees and RA's are set by legislation, namely the Licensing Act 	
		 Further there should be a responsibility to inform residents within 200m of an application to ensure that they have an opportunity to make representations and this should be added to the consultee list in Appendix D 	2003. We do notify Ward Members and Parish Clerks of any applications for the grant or variation of a premises licence in their area.	
		 The area AONB's and CPRE should also be included on the consultee list as representing bodies. 	2. Recommend no change as the Consultees and RA's are set by legislation, namely the Licensing Act 2003. We do notify Ward Members and Parish Clorks of any applications for the grant or variation	
		 1.5.3 Please clarify what the 'greater support' offered by the licensing authority to communities is. 	Clerks of any applications for the grant or variation of a premises licence in their area.	
		5. We have been led to understand that the licensing authority is against conditions that place a burden on the licensing team which would seem to contradict the spirit of this paragraph. It is felt by the Parish Council that conditions are the way	 Recommend no change, please see above but it would be helpful for Parish Clerks to pass on the notification if they are situated in such an area. 	
		forward to promote licensing objectives and the principle of this paragraph is supported.	4. Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 give greater powers to the Police to close premises.	
		 1.5.6 - 1.5.7 There should be clarity in the approach of the licensing authority to these contradicting statements. 	 This is untrue, conditions need to be tailored to an individual licence to promote the Licensing Objectives. 	
		7. 2.1.1 Applicants should be required to seek the views of responsible authorities/local communities and it should be clear how this is to be done to ensure robust consultation. (For instance it is felt that a notice outside a property is not enough particularly where there is likely to be little footfall).	 Recommend no change as each application needs to be considered on its own merits. 	
		8. 2.6.5 How will the licensing authority monitor and enforce noise conditions and what basis will be used for measuring noise in imposing conditions?	 Recommend no change as there is no legal requirement to do this so the policy advises that it may be useful to do so. The advertising of the application, as already stated, is set by statute. 	
		9. 3.7.1 'other persons' may make representations. However the administration procedure is not transparent or clear. The public notice does not invite electronic representations although applications may be electronic. The notice does not provide a link to the application documents for detail of the application although this documentation is an important part of the process. For transparency these documents about the available electronically on the Council website and about the document.	 Any complaints received will be assessed and appropriate action taken. This may involve the use of monitoring equipment and could lead to a review of the premises licence. 	
		documents should be available electronically on the Council website and should not require access to physical copies for partners or other persons.	This is something we are working towards, updating the website, and aligning our procedures.	
		10. 3.8 The Parish Council understands that the licensing authority could not enforce conditions and would expect other relevant authorities to carry out the enforcement. Are the licensing authority able to put conditions in place that they would enforce?	10. The Licensing Authority does enforce conditions and has prosecuted for breach of conditions.	
		11. 3.9.5 Will enforcement officers be employed to carry out these visits? It would seem that this requirement could only be carried out if an out of hours officer is	11. This is in-line with the Somerset Council Enforcement Policy, but each case would be considered on its own merits.	

	employed and would be an undue burden on officers who work 9-5, part time or work from home.	12. Recommend no change as the a applications is set out in statute.
	 4 Administrative procedures require the advertising of applications - but displaying them at locations that are unlikely to be visible to other residents such as those with little footfall by the general public; or in newspapers with declining readership are unlikely to meet the requirements or spirit of the regulations. Requiring that Town and Parish Councils are notified would form a more direct link to residents as these bodies are elected representatives. Offering a subscription service such as the planning online subscription service offered by the Sedgemoor District which is now part of Somerset Council would allow groups and residents to subscribe to receive new notices or variations for specific Parishes, the system would not create an undue burden for officers and as the software is already owned by Somerset Council it should not place a financial burden on the department. This would create a robust and transparent administrative procedure to meet the fundamental principles of the policy 4.0.3 Clarity on variations is sought – is there a control on the cumulative effect of minor variations to a full variation on a licence. 4.4 The minimum time of 5 days notice does not seem practical for consultation unless this must be offered due to the 2003 Act. 5. 4.4 Which policy would take precedence if a premises has a licence for events, the LSE policy or the extant premises licence as para 4.6.12 recognises that responsible authorities should be given the opportunity to make representations relating to different events at the same location. How do the two approaches connect for a premises that holds a variety of unspecified large events. 6. 4.9 There is no process for regular reviews, a licence could be in place for decades with no review of the activities licensed, except in the instance of a complaint. If there are no regular checks standards may slide. 17. 4.9.1 For clarity does this paragraph mean that a body such as a Parish or	 The Minor Variation process is a that can only be used if it has no the Licensing Objectives. If it doe rejected the only way forward is Variation. There is no consultation for a Te Notice (TEN). It is not a licence; on the Licensing Authority inform licensable event is taking place. who can object to a TEN are the Environmental Health. Recommend no change as each considered on its own merits. Under the Licensing Act 2003 licheld in perpetuity and we carry or inspections as well as being read. Yes
	 2.1 Legislation Prior to submitting your application you ARE REQUIRED to seek the views of responsible authorities and local community to obtain information on local issues and concerns that you may wish to take into consideration prior to making your application 	 Recommend no change as there requirement to do this but the pomay be useful to do so. The adverse polications is set by statute. Recommend no change as the set of the set o
2 Member of public	 4. Administrative procedures 4.03 and 4.04 need to be amended to have more wider distribution using community sites and media besides newspapers. Notice needs to be prominently displayed in the relevant area not only at the site itself. 	 Recommend no change as the a applications is set by statute. Recommend no change as there requirement to do this so the poli may be useful to do so.
	 4.12 It is recommended that applicants liaise with neighbours and/or any relevant community group such as a local residents association, or other such groups, as may be appropriate prior to submitting an application. What if this recommendation is not taken up and neighbours and community groups are unawareof the licensing application so are unable to make 	 Recommend no change as this v issue, not a licensing one.

advertising of e.	
a simplified process to adverse effect on oes, the application is s to submit a	
emporary Event ; it is a notice served ming them that a . The only persons e Police or	
ch event needs to be	
icences are usually out proactive active to complaints.	
re is no legal oolicy advises that it vertising of	
advertising of	
re is no legal olicy advises that it	
would be a planning	

3 Member of public
3 Member of public 4. 4.1.5 Public Health This aspect needs to be strengthened. Public Health can be endangered if the sewage system is overwhelmed by a large increase in occupants at a site. How this will be dealt with needs to be a requirement prior to granting a licence for large numbers of people over 24 hours 7 days a week 1. Recommend no change as: Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1988 1. Page 11 'Legislation '. After Para 2.1.1 the Policy should set out the other legislation which the licensing autority is bound by in undertaking its licensing function under the Act, including: o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 1. Recommend no change as: Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1988 is referenced at 3.1.3 2. Page 11 Para 2.3.1: spelling error: the word 'become' should read 'becoming' 1. Recommend no change as: Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1988 is referenced at 3.1.3 3 Member of public 2. Page 11 Para 2.3.1: spelling error: the word 'become' should read 'becoming' an assaults, prevent noise form licensed premises becoming a nuisance and manage customers outside their premises who are smoking' 2. After 2.4.5 the Policy should set out the child protection bodies to whom the 20.3 Act requires Applicable's specifically how they will so therdip's policy 2.4.1 and 2.4.2; 3. The Policy should also femilies examples of issues likely to raise concern in retrainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy
3 Member of public This aspect needs to be strengthened. Public Health can be endangered if the sewage system to your hole to ya large increase in occupants at a site. How this will be dealt with needs to be a requirement prior to granting a licence for large numbers of people over 24 hours 7 days a week 1. Page 11: Legislation: After Para 2.1.1 the Policy should set out the other legislation which the licensing authority is bound by in undertaking its licensing function under the Act. Including: o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 is referenced at 3.1.3 1. Recommend no change as: Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1988 is referenced at 3.1.3 3 Member of public 1. Page 11: Legislation: After Para 2.1.1 to Mendip's Policy) 1. Recommend no change as: Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1988 is referenced at 3.1.3 3 Member of public 2. Page 11 Para 2.3.1: spelling error; the word 'become' should read 'becoming' policy does) that 'Applicants to a premises becoming a nuisance and manage customers outside their premises who are smoking' 3. After 2.4.5 the Policy should ast out the child protection bodies to whom the 2003 Act requires Applicants to copy details of their application, (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1 and 2.4.2); 1. Recommend no change as this paragraph is now at 2.3.1 3 Member of public 5. The Policy should asto there application during place and the children days thread in their toperad the licensing Authority's strong advoicy 1. and/doi to thidren where music and alcohel are the main reasons for an event taking place. Should also be included in the policy as it was thought most of the text was commend no change as this usinglatading can be dound
3 Member of public This aspect needs to be strengthened. Public Health can be endangered if the sewage system to your house by a large increase in occupants at a site. How this will be dealt with needs to be a requirement prior to granting a licence for large numbers of people over 24 hours 7 days a week 1. Recommend no change as: 3 Member of public 1. Page 11 Legislation: After Para 2.1.1 the Policy should set out the other legislation which the licensing authority is bound by in undertaking its licensing function under the Act, including: o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 (Note: the above list replicates what appears at 2.1.1 of Mendip's Policy) 1. Recommend no change as: 8 Page 11 Para 2.3.1: spelling error; the word 'become' should also state (as para 2.3.2 of the Artipaciants for a premises) lescence are advised to include in their operating schedule (where applicable) specifically how they will prevent sales of alcohol to persons under 18 years, minimise glass related in liquies and assaults, prevent noise from licensed premises becoming a nuisance and manage customers outside their premises who are smoking" 1. Recommend no change as this paragraph is now at 2.3.1 3 Member of public 5. The Policy should set out the child protection bodies to whom the 2003 Act requires Applicants to copy details of their application to children where whice and reals policy 2.4.7), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern in relation to children where whice and reals policy 2.4.7). and examples of entertainment kikely to raise concern in relation to children where whice and policy 2.4.7). and examples of entertainment kikely to raise concern in relation to children where wheat belicensing Authority's strong add
3 Member of public Sewage system is overwhelmed by a large increase in occupants at a site. How this will be deal with needs to be a requirement prior to granning a licence for large numbers of people over 24 hours 7 days a week Image:
3 Member of public After 2.4.5 the Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in examples of examples of seven taking place server taking place solution to children where main reasons for an event taking place solution to the licensing aution to the licensing automate the server taking place solution to the licensing automate the server taking place solution the licensing automate the server taking place solution to the licensing automate the server taking place solution to the licensing automate the server taking place solution to the licensing automate the server taking place solution to the licensing automate the server taking place solution to the licensing automate the server taking place solution to the licensing automate the server taking place solution to the licensing automate the server taking place solution to the licensing automate the server taking place solution to the licensing automate the server taking place solution to the licensing automate the server taking place solution to the licensing automate the server taking place solution to the licensing automate the server taking place solution to the licensing automate the server taking place solution to the licensing automate the server taking place solution to the licensing automate the server taking place solution to the licensing automate the solution to the licensing automate the solution to the server taking place solution to solution to the server taking place solution to solution to the server taking place solution to solution the solution to the server taking place solution to solution the solution the solution the solution to solution the solution to the server taking place soluthe solution the soluther solution the solution to sol
3 Member of public 1. Page 111 Legislation: After Para 2.1.1 the Policy should set out the other legislation which the idensing authority is bound by in undertaking its licensing function under the Act, including: o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 is o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 is o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 is o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 is o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 is o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 is o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 is o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 is o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 is o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 is o Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1988 is referenced at 3.1.3 Human Rights Act not referenced as it is enshrined in everyday life. Equalities Act 2010 is referenced at 1.5.2 Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 is referenced at 1.5.3 Corrected After 2.4.5 the Policy should set out the child protection bodies to whom the 2003 Act requires Applicants to copy details of their application, (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1 and 2.4.2); Social children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of ertertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7). The Licensing aution to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7). The Licensing aution to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7). The Licensing aution to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also the included alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy 2.4.7). The Lic
3 Member of public 1. Page 11 'Legislation': After Para 2.1.1 the Policy should set out the other legislation which the licensing authority is bound by in undertaking its licensing function under the Act, including: o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 (Note: the above list replicates what appears at 2.1.1 of Mendip's Policy) 2. Page 11 Para 2.3.1: spelling error: the word 'become' should read 'becoming' 3. Page 12 Para 2.4.1: 'Children' this should also state (as para 2.3.2 of Mendip policy does) that 'Applicants for a premises license are advised to include in their operating schedule (where applicable) specifically how they will prevent sales of alcohol to persons under 18 years, minimise glass related injures and assaults, prevent noise from licensed premises becoming a nuisance and manage customers outside their premises who are smoking" 1. Recommend no change as: Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1988 is referenced at 3.1.3 3 Member of public 1. Page 11 Para 2.3.1: spelling error: the word 'becoming' 1. Recommend no change as this paragraph is now at 2.3.2 3 Member of public 1. After 2.4.5 the Policy should set out the child protection bodies to whom the 2003 Act requires Applicants to copy details of their application, (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1, and 2.4.2); 2. The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's storeg advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing authority's storeg 3. Recommend no change as this was intentionally n
3 Member of public 5. The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1) and 2.4.2); 5. The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1) and 2.4.2); 5. The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of induction al acohol are tremain measons for an event taking place, should also be inducid also be inducid also be inducid also be individual as the individual merts.
3 Member of public 5. The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1) and 2.4.2); 5. The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1) and 2.4.2); 5. The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of induction al acohol are tremain measons for an event taking place, should also be inducid also be inducid also be inducid also be individual as the individual merts.
3 Member of public function under the Act, including: o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1988 is referenced at 3.1.3 3 Member of public function under the Act, including: o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1988 is referenced at 3.1.3 3 Member of public function under the Act, including: o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1988 is referenced at 3.1.3 3 Member of public function under the Act, including: o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1988 is referenced at 3.1.3 3 Member of public function under the Act, including: o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1988 is referenced at 3.1.3 3 Member of public function under the Act, including: o S17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 is referenced at 3.1.3 Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1988 is referenced at 3.1.3 3 Member of public Fage 12 Para 2.4.1 - 'Children' this should also tate (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1) Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1988 is referenced at 3.1.3 3 Member of public Fage 12 Para 2.4.1 - 'Children' this should also tate (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1) Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1988 is referenced at 3.1.3 3 Member of public
3 Member of public Member of public The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per VAndip's policy 2.4.1) and 2.4.2); The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per VAndip's policy 2.4.1) and 2.4.2); Member of public referenced at 3.1.3 Human Rights Act not referenced as it is enshrined in everyday life. Equalities Act 2010 is referenced at 1.5.2 Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 is referenced at 1.5.3 Corrected Recommend no change as this paragraph is now at 2.3.1 Recommend no change as this is referenced at Appendix F Recommend no change as this is referenced at Appendix F Recommend no change as this is referenced at Appendix F Recommend no change as this was intentional on the dub and policing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy 2.4.9). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy 2.4.9). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy 2.4.9). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included in the policy as it was thought most of the text was common sense and each application would be decided on its individual merits.
3 Member of public Notice to the control the contrecontrol the control the co
3 Member of public 2. Page 11 Para 2.3.1: spelling error: the word 'become' should read 'becoming' 2. Page 11 Para 2.3.1: spelling error: the word 'become' should read 'becoming' 2. Page 12 Para 2.4.1- 'Children' this should also state (as para 2.3.2 of Mendip policy does) that "Applicants for a premises license are advised to include in their operating schedule (where applicable) specifically how they will prevent sales of alcohol to persons under 18 years, minimise glass related injuries and assaults, prevent noise from licensed premises becoming a nuisance and manage customers outside their premises who are smoking" 2. Corrected 3 Member of public 5. The Policy should set out the child protection bodies to whom the clain to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy 1. In addition the Licensing Authority's strong 3. Recommend no change as this was intentionally not included in the policy as it was thought most of the text was common sense and each application would be decided on its individual merits.
3 Member of public 2. Page 11 Para 2.3.1: spelling error: the word 'become' should read 'becoming' Equalities Act 2010 is referenced at 1.5.2 3 Member of public After 2.4.1 · 'Children' this should also state (as para 2.3.2 of Mendip policy dees) that 'Applicants for a premises license are advised to include in their operating schedule (where applicable) specifically how they will prevent sales of alcohol to persons under 18 years, minimise glass related injuries and assaults, prevent noise from licensed premises becoming a nuisance and manage customers outside their premises who are smoking" 2. Corrected 3 Member of public 5. The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong 5. Recommend no change as this was intentionally not included in the policy as it was thought most of the text was common sense and each application would be decided on its individual merits.
 3 Page 12 Para 2.4.1- 'Children' this should also state (as para 2.3.2 of Mendip policy does) that "Applicants for a premises license are advised to include in their operating schedule (where applicable) specifically how they will prevent sales of alcohol to persons under 18 years, minimise glass related injuries and assaults, prevent noise from licensed premises becoming a nuisance and manage customers outside their premises who are smoking" After 2.4.5 the Policy should set out the child protection bodies to whom the 2003 Act requires Applicants to copy details of their application, (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1 and 2.4.2); The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong
3Page 12 Para 2.4.1- 'Children' this should also state (as para 2.3.2 of Mendip policy does) that "Applicants for a premises license are advised to include in their operating schedule (where applicable) specifically how they will prevent sales of alcohol to persons under 18 years, minimise glass related injuries and assaults, prevent noise from licensed premises becoming a nuisance and manage customers outside their premises who are smoking"Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 is referenced at 1.5.33Member of publicAfter 2.4.5 the Policy should set out the child protection bodies to whom the 2003 Act requires Applicants to copy details of their application, (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1 and 2.4.2);After 2.4.5 the Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strongAnti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 is referenced at 1.5.33Member of public5. The Policy should also itemise examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong5. Recommend no change as this was intentionally not included in the policy as it was thought most of the text was common sense and each application would be decided on its individual merits.
 3 Member of public 3 Member of public 3 Member of public 3 Member of public 4 Member of public 5 The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1 and 2.4.2); 5 The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong
 3 Member of public 3 Member of public 5 The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong a Member of public
 3 Member of public 3 Member of public a Member of public b Member of public a Member of public b Member of public b Member of public c Member of public
 assaults, prevent noise from licensed premises becoming a nuisance and manage customers outside their premises who are smoking" A After 2.4.5 the Policy should set out the child protection bodies to whom the 2003 Act requires Applicants to copy details of their application, (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1 and 2.4.2); The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong
3Member of public4. After 2.4.5 the Policy should set out the child protection bodies to whom the 2003 Act requires Applicants to copy details of their application, (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1 and 2.4.2);3. Recommend no change as this paragraph is now at 2.3.13Member of public5. The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong3. Recommend no change as this paragraph is now at 2.3.13Member of public
 3 Member of public 4. After 2.4.5 the Policy should set out the child protection bodies to whom the 2003 Act requires Applicants to copy details of their application, (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1 and 2.4.2); 5. The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong 5. The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong 6. The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong 7. The Policy should also itemise examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong
3Member of public4. After 2.4.5 the Policy should set out the child protection bodies to whom the 2003 Act requires Applicants to copy details of their application, (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1 and 2.4.2);4. Recommend no change as this is referenced at Appendix B and further information on Safeguarding can be found at Appendix F3Member of public5. The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong5. Recommend no change as this was intentionally not included in the policy as it was thought most of the text was common sense and each application would be decided on its individual merits.
3Member of public2003 Act requires Applicants to copy details of their application, (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.1 and 2.4.2);4. Recommend no change as this is referenced at Appendix B and further information on Safeguarding can be found at Appendix F3Member of public5. The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong4. Recommend no change as this is referenced at Appendix B and further information on Safeguarding can be found at Appendix F3Member of public5. The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong5. Recommend no change as this was intentionally not included in the policy as it was thought most of the text was common sense and each application would be decided on its individual merits.
 Member of public Member of public
 Member of public The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong
3 Member of public 5. The Policy should also itemise examples of issues likely to raise concern in relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included in the policy as it was thought most of the text was common sense and each application would be decided on its individual merits.
3 Member of public relation to children (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.7), and examples of entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included in the policy as it was thought most of the text was common sense and each application would be decided on its individual merits.
entertainment likely to cause concern (as per Mendip's policy 2.4.8). The Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong
Licensing authority's strong advice in relation to children where music and alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong
alcohol are the main reasons for an event taking place, should also be included (as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy). In addition the Licensing Authority's strong
(as per 2.4.9 of Mendip's policy) . In addition the Licensing Authority's strong
(as per 2.4.10 of Mendip's policy), and finally, a statement should be included of removed as it is a legislative power not a policy
the conditions which an applicant is advised to offer for consideration in its issue and there are no late-night levies within the
operating schedule, where adult entertainment or services may give rise to Licensing Authority area.
concern in respect of children: (as per 2.4.11 of Mendip's policy.)
7. Recommend no change as this is a report from
6. Page 14: 'Late Night Refreshment' After 2.7.5 the Policy should set out details of 2017 which made recommendations but were never
the licensing authority's power to charge late-night levies (as per para 2.6.1 and adopted within the Section 182 Guidance. We do
2.6.2 of Mendip's Policy) liaise with our Planning colleagues and as
Responsible Authority they are consulted on all
7. Page 15 'Partnership Working' para 3.1.2 should set out examples of the form applications for the Grant or Variation of a premises
which 'co-operation across Services within the Authority' will include or be likely
to take. In this regard the Policy document might take its cue from the House of
Lords Select Committee Report following their post-legislative Scrutiny of the 8. Recommend no change as this is not a recent
Licensing Act 2003 (published 4.4.17) at change in legislation, this refers to the Police
https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201617/ldselect/ldlicact/146/146.pdf Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011 so has
Specifically, para 245 of the report cites examples of authorities where there is been enacted for the past 12 years. The role of the
already good co-operation between licensing committees and planning officers,
and Para 247 which recommends:

		 "Coordination between the licensing and planning systems can and should begin immediately in all local authorities. The section 182 Guidance should be amended to make clear that a licensing committee, far from ignoring any relevant decision already taken by a planning committee, should take it into account and where appropriate follow it; and vice versa." Page 16 'Licensing Authority as Responsible Authority' After 3.2.4 the policy should make it clear what this recent change in the legislation means by reference to the Home Office explanatory Guidance, which is detailed as follows at https://asets.publishing service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/att achment_data/file/98130/licensing-authorities.pdf "What is the proposed change to be made through the Bill? We will make licensing authorities responsible authorities under the Licensing Act. This will empower them to refuse, remove or review licences themselves without first having had to have received a representation from one of the other responsible authorities are better able to respond to the concerns of local residents and businesses by taking the necessary actions to tackle irresponsible permises without having to wait for representations from Responsible Authorities which are made within the prescribed period, shall be considered, where submitted in any written or verbal form. It is Important to note that: whilst s17 of the Licensing Authority. Accordingly, the legislation does not impose any prescribed form for representations from this sector. The Policy should additionally make clear that in the interests of transparency and freedom of information, details of any representation from a Responsible Authority. Accordingly, the legislation does not impose any prescribed form for representations from this sector. The Policy should additionally make clear that in the interests of transparency and freedom of information, details of any representation from a Responsible Authority elevant to a pend	 clearly explained within section 3 Recommend no change as the Li (Premises licences and club prem Regulations 2005 Section 21 stat application, notice or representati in writing, which includes being tr electronic form. Recommend no change as this is Licensing Act 2003 (Premises lice premises certificates) Regulations Recommend no change as this is Licensing Act 2003 (Hearings) References as the second as it is not a requiremer application but is a suggestion. Recommend no change as this is
4 Me	ember of public	licensed premises becoming a nuisance. However the policy could go further	includes the entire licensable area paragraph advises applicants what

onsible Authority is 3.2.	
Licensing Act 2003 emises certificates) tates that an	
ation shall be given transmitted in	
is detailed in the licences and club ons 2005.	
is covered by the Regulations 2005	
was intentionally ent for the	
s is covered in 3.6.2	
remises licence rea and this vhat to address in	

	and indicate that creating a noise nuisance outside the license conditions is unacceptable and will be subject to enforcement.	their operating schedule to promote the licensing objectives. Enforcement is detailed at 3.9.
2	 Surely another known area of concern is overcrowding/crushing at large indoor and outdoor events? 	 Recommend no change as this will be assessed by Safety Advisory Groups and event Multi partnership Meetings on a case-by-case basis.
3	 2.6.5 Suggest second sentence should read "If representations are received in areas with a concentration of residential properties, then imposition of stricter noise control conditions will likely be necessary. 	 3. "Agree with the re-wording but use "will be considered" rather than "likely to be necessary".
4	4. 3.1.1 I welcome the recognition that delivery of the Licensing function involves a partnership approach with, amongst others; residents, parish councils and LCNs. However I would like to see more policy guidance to demonstrate this involvement. For example, it is presently not a requirement on the applicant or LA to notify Parish Councils (or presumably LCNs). I assert that SC should	 Recommend no change as Ward members and Parish Clerks are notified of all new premises licence applications and applications to vary an existing licence within their area.
	introduce this requirement or at the very least "strongly recommend" notification of local community groups including parish councils.	 Recommend no change as each application will be considered on its own merits.
5	 3.3 Cumulative Impact Policy: This policy still doesn't address sequential licensed events at the same location. 	 Recommend no change as the LA cannot control the number of TENs as it is a notice served on the LA that a temporary event is taking place.
6	5. Also it would be useful for the policy to state how the LA controls the cumulative impact of multiple TENs e.g. off-site campsites around Glastonbury Festival. NB: It is understood that the Festival license conditions has no legal effect on these sites.	 Recommend no change as the licence is not reviewed on annual basis, officers make recommendations to Scrutiny Board in relation to the Event Management Plan if required.
7	7. 3.9 Enforcement: Is it not appropriate for this policy to enshrine formal reviews of large events? For example, each year there is a review of Glastonbury Festival under the behest of the (Mendip) Scrutiny Board – surely this should be formalised within this Somerset wide policy?	 Recommend no change as it is quite easy to find the complaints page on the Somerset Council website <u>Complaints, comments and compliments</u> (somerset.gov.uk) Any appeal would be to the
8	3. 3.10.3 More could be done to explain or signpost the complaint procedures. Surely any complainant has the right of appeal if it is not considered a "valid complaint"?	 9. Recommend no change as we cannot make this mandatory as it is not a legal requirement, but the
9	 4.1.2 I believe that SC should adopt a mandatory policy of liaising with neighbours. At the very least it should strongly recommend this approach, with some appropriate sanctions if this approach is not followed. 	10. Recommend no change as each application will be
1	10. 4.1.4 The applicant needs to describe safe capacities together with the procedures that are in place to ensure safe capacities are not exceeded. For example certification control of ticket numbers.	considered on its own merits by Responsible Authorities and any required conditions will be tailored to individual applications by either mediation or a hearing.
1	1. 4.7.1 This is a praiseworthy statement but most legal controls are outside the LA. For example the Environmental Agency controls the impact on watercourses. It would be useful for the policy to explain its relationship with other agencies involved in ensuring sustainable management.	11. The climate team will update their webpage with the event sustainability information to include links to other agencies and their responsibilities around events.

5		 Clause 3.1.2 (page 15) of the Licensing Policy should state that the Licensing Authority WILL co-operate with other services across the Authority to promote the Licensing Objectives and be clear about the form such co-operation should take. In 2017 the House of Lords Select Committee published its post-legislative scrutiny report of the Licensing Act 2003. The House of Lords specifically identified inadequacies in decision making by Licensing Authorities due to lack of connection with the Planning regime, which had led to "numerous examples of the absurdities caused by the separation of the systems [particularly where both licensing and planning are relevant and where] permission for one without the other is of no use".(para 118 HoLreport) The House of Lords further noted the good practice in some authorities which had arranged for Licensing and Planning enforcement to work together and wanted this to become the norm in all local authorities, on the basis that coordination between the licensing and planning systems can and should begin immediately.(para 245 HoL report) The failure of the Licensing Authority to properly co-ordinate with the Planning regime and consider existing planning permissions prior to granting a license leads to licensing hours being permitted which exceed those allowed under planning restrictions. Planning enforcement then have to step in. At paragraph 122 of its report the House of Lords states: "Licensing committees are not bound by decisions made by a planning committee, and vice versa. We believe that this policy, far from avoiding duplication and inefficiency, has increased it, and has led to confusion and absurdity." I urge Somerset Council to use this opportunity to take on board the recommendations of the House of Lords Select Committee to ensure better coordination between the planning and licensing regimes. You should include a full statement of how the relationship between the two will work thus av	 Recommend no change as the L operation but must follow current Recommend no change as this i 2017 which made recommendati adopted within the Section 182 C liaise with our Planning colleague Responsible Authority they are c applications for the Grant or Vari licence.
6	Responsible Authority	 2.4 Children To support the licensing objectives, we suggest alcohol advertising should be addressed. There is overwhelming evidence that alcohol marketing profoundly influences children. It encourages them to drink earlier and once they have started, it encourages them to consume more; and it is both the content and volume of advertising and marketing that causes the damage. We believe that alcohol should not be advertised within a 400m radius of schools, children's homes, or in other locations which are likely to be seen by high numbers of children and young people. We would like businesses to take this into consideration, when designing and displaying their point of sale advertising. 2. 2.5 Vulnerable Adults 	 This is regulated by the Advertis Authority (ASA) who is the UK's regulator of advertising across a the Advertising Codes, which are Committees of Advertising Pract Home - ASA CAP Recommend referencing and sig within 2.4 – RA in agreement. Recommend no change as each considered on its own merits.
		This section could go further, by highlighting how the physical layout of the premises may present particular risks for vulnerable persons.	 Agreed – add email address to p <u>SSDAP@somerset.gov.uk</u>
			4. Noted.

LA seeks co- nt legislation.	
is a report from ations but were never Guidance. We do ues and as consulted on all ariation of a premises	
sing Standards s independent all media. They apply re written by the ctice (CAP)	
ignposting ASA	
h application will be	
page 5.	

	 3. 3.1 Partnership Working - 3.1.1 Please can Somerset Strategic Drugs and Alcohol Partnership be added as an organisation that works to support the licensing objectives. 4. 3.3 Cumulative Impact Policy We have ongoing concerns that Cumulative Impact Areas are not currently us in Somerset. There are areas where evidence indicates the density of licensed premises is impacting adversely on the licensing objectives. We support the n policy recognises that the Act provides a mechanism for consideration should the need arise in the future. 5. 4.1.5 Public Health We welcome the inclusion of Public Health. 6. Under section 4.1.5 referenced in the new policy we would ask the following sentence: The DPH may hold information unavailable to other Responsible Authorities which may assist the Licensing Authority in exercising its functions amended for transparency as we would prefer it to state: The DPH collates da which may be unavailable to other Responsible Authorities, but its analysis may be presented to assist the Licensing Authority in exercising its functions. 	6. Amend as requested.
7 Membe	 The supporting document appears to cover most aspects when granting a License .All that I would want included or made clearer is that the impact on a Community is taken into account and the Devon made is mindful that a license till midnight has wider implications in noise and anti social behaviour if the ver is in a residential area 	9
8 Somers Council	 I would suggest that section 5 is too vague to comply with general principles around fair enforcement. The statement has no clear definition and so is open a wide range of interpretation "if it sees fit" is not clearly defined. A better format for section 5 might be to state that " charging will be in accordance with the council's adopted fees schedule ". As licensing fees have to be set annually a fee schedule can then be created which creates a basic minimum or maximum charge. This schedules should also include an ability to waiver for certain appropriate bodies. This would need to be defined by the council in the charging schedule and would provide clarity to support the overarching policy. 	schedule.
9 Membe	 No account of past failings to protect the community I am concerned to see that this policy , which does not appear to differ in any substantial way from the former Mendip policy , does not take account of the issues that were raised (and accepted as action points) at a recent Mendip Scrutiny Board (November 22?) concerning the Glastonbury Festival. These issues illustrated failings in your licensing and enforcement regime and therefore by implication likely also your policy and included concerns about noise, traffic and overcrowding, (a) I propose that the minutes of the scrutiny Board are reviewed and the relevant parts of this policy strengthened to mitigated the issues that were raised there; and for the record were repeated again this year. 	 Recommend no change as the Scrutiny Board 22/11/23 have be there are no action points recommas resolved. Note the report. Support the Officer recommendations from the term of term
	2. Independent review of this policy	

uested.	
no change as this is covered in section s 2.6.2 & 2.6.5.	
amendment to – The Authority may – application advice on request in ith the council`s adopted fees	
no change as the minutes of the d 22/11/23 have been reviewed and ction points recorded but the following	
e report.	
t the Officer recommendations rised in Appendix 2 of the report.	
st a written response to the nendations from the Licensee.	

	cords will show that Mendip failed in the application of the license. For	(a) Recommend that this is not
	e it failed in to have in place a means of monitoring compliance with the rfew and when challenged sent correspondence which was	licence issue specific to Glas
	ctory and fell short of the standard I and others in my village expected of I authority. They even failed in responding properly to my FOI request	 Recommend that this is not a possible specific licence issue.
	felt compelled to make because of their handling).	(a)Recommend no change as th
deals	refore request that someone other than the Mendip Licensing team s with the revisions to the policy on which you are consulting. It is al practice in Auditing for the lead auditor to be changed periodically suggest this is done here too a team that has not felt the need to take	been reviewed by Licensing districts who are now part of Also, the consultation proces policy.
actio	n to mitigate serious breaches in the past will very likely not have the bendent mind and fresh approach that review of this important policy	(b) As above
need		 Engagement of members of the the Council's area of responsibility
	propose that the review must take account , if not already done so ,of practice as operated by other authorities in whose area there are	Consultation on Policy.
	ar large scale events. Somerset should learn from he experience of s if there is learning to be had.	(a) Recommend no change as t widely publicised as required
3. Engagen respons	nent of members of the communities within the Council's area of ibility.	as parishes and various soci (b) Recommend that the comme
Consulta	ation on the policy	Consultation concerning the cha application of licences.
do ha surpr was licens was	tfalls on licensing (the policy, the licenses and their application), can and ave a profound impact on the quality of life in our communities. I was rised therefore that this consultation was not widely publicised, that it not drawn to the attention of those that have expressed concern over sing matters previously and that there were not consultation meetings. It only by chance that a neighbour drew this to my attention at the 11 th	(a) Recommend no change as r community are notified of ap notification to Ward and Pari of advertising as set out in th Regulations.
hour.	e of my comments that follow are from experience of the Glastonbury	The Policy should be revised so the community are consulted in
Festi	val has on the surrounding communities. I appreciate this is not a	Operating Plan.
	ultation on the festival per se but it provides a useful reference as to the hither-to licensing arrangements have failed us.	(a) Recommend no change as u Act 2003 there is a 28-day of for any grant or variation of a
Consulta	ation concerning the changes to and application of licences	Responsible Authority or any make a representation for or
of the	In the second se	application during that period Glastonbury Festival, multi-a meetings are held specificall Authorities to scrutinise ever
	icy should be revised so that members of the community are consulted etail of Operating Plan	plans. GFEL organise comm meetings for members of the Parish Council to raise any c
member	icy again excludes a requirement for the local authority to consult s of the community on the very issues that will impact them . Members	issues that are not addresse to review the licence.
	ommunity should be consulted on the Operating Plan . Mendip has told atedly when we have raised issues concerning the license for the festival se mattes would be taken care of in the Operating Plan. However	The applicant's consultation and with the community should be n licensing authority should super

t a policy issue but a astonbury Festival.	
policy issue but a	
the draft policy has g Leads from previous of Somerset Council. ess is a review of the	
e communities within pility.	
this consultation was ed in statute as well cial media outlets.	
nent is noted.	
hanges to and	
members of the applications by way of arish ClIrs and by way the Licensing Act	
so that members of in the detail of	
a under the Licensing consultation period a premises licence. A ny other person may or against the od. In respect of -agency partnership ally for Responsible ent management munity engagement ne community and the concerns. If there are sed, there is the option	
nd wash up meetings mandated and the ervise this to ensure	

members of the community are specifically excluded from consultation or	appropriate measure are taken
engagement in the plan. This is a critical weakness in your policy . People wh live near the licensed premises will have a perspective that the authority may	ho consultation.
not, and at the end of the day the license should protect those same people.	(a) Recommend no change as referred to is not consultation
The applicant's consultation and wash up meetings with the community should	engagement and these me
be mandated and the licensing authority should supervise this to ensure	by the event organisers. Th requirement to do this, but
appropriate measure are taken as a result of the consultation.	encourage and are keen to
(a) The policy should be strengthened (ifthe legislation allows) to mandate proper consultation with the community and in good time. I have attended	(b) As above.
such meetings when I was told by the applicant that it was too late to change	ge
anything. This is NOT consultation. Equally there must be a proper wash up	4. Recommend no change as con
meetings for repeated events	added to a license by way of mi variation, or review.
(b) In previous years the it has been our experience consultation meetings	5. Recommend no change as this
concerning a licensed event have been chaired by the Applicant. If the legislation allows, the policy should make provision for the consultees to	3.3 and at paragraph 3.3.4 it cle
shape the agenda and for the chair to be independent (ie using the f license	e Somerset Council has no imme
as an example of the principle that should be in the policy, this would then	publish a CIA but recognises the mechanism for consideration sh
not be chaired by the festival, nor the <u>local</u> licensing team or the parish	
council- although those organisations should be present).	(a) As above
4. Conditions on the license	
Para 1.5: The policy should be revised (if legally possible) so that conditions can be applied also if there have been previous complaints about the applicar	6. Recommend that the comment statement in relation to Glaston
in relation to matters covered by licensing in general.	a policy issue.
5. Cumulative impact Policy	7. Complaints, Monitoring and Enf
Where an event happens repeatedly and incurs signifiant impact time and tim	ne
again and/or where the event attracts other events such as camping under othe 'permissions' then total impact of <u>all</u> these events must be taken into account.	(a) Recommend no onange do
Your policy should specifically reflect this.	been reviewed by Licensing former districts who are now
(a) To illustrate how the licensing policy fails to take cumulative impact into	Council.
account and where the new policy should be strengthened, your festival	(b) As above but not a policy is
license does not seem to exercise any control over very significant numbers	
of people arriving in or near our village for camping well in advance of the	(a) Decommond no change as
festival. That camping only takes place because of the festival. Your licens	
should extend to businesses that have a 'dependence' on the licensed ever and certainly to 'partnerships' (eg where camping and ticket are jointly	
purchased).	8. Recommend no change as this
	but could be considered on a ca application or a review of the lic
6. Integrated Strategies	
The LA administration of the festival so far has had inadequate regard to the interests of the local community. It has not engaged properly with the negative	9. Recommend no change as this
impact on those communities and has cited unsubstantiated arguments about	
significant benefit of the festival to the community. Competing interests must	
be considered but the way in which this has been voiced at LA meetings	but a specific licence issue.
indicates an improper application. For example the claimed local commercial	
benefits should not prevent proper control over noise on the community.	11. Recommend no change as this
	but a specific licence issue.

en as a result of the	
as what is being ation, it is community neeting are convened There is no legal ut it is something we to see it continue.	
conditions can only be minor variation,	
his is covered in section clearly states, mediate plans to that the Act provides a should the need arise.	
ent is noted but is a conbury Festival and not	
Enforcement.	
as the draft policy has sing Leads from the now part of Somerset	
y issue but a specific	
as this is not a policy ce issue.	
his is not policy issue a case-by-case basis on a licence.	
this is not a policy issue	
his is not a policy issue	
his is not a policy issue	

	There also needs to be clear criteria as to how alleged benefits (commercial and linked businesses) compare with the disruption to residents	
7.	 Complaints, Monitoring and Enforcement (a) Mendip's track record on license monitoring and enforcement has been woeful. Because of this the policy needs to be completely reviewed by persons within the Somerset Authority who have had no prior engagement with Mendip. (For example ,last year (2022) there were repeated significant breaches of the so called festival noise curfew period;the correspondence with members of Mendip was inconclusive (and in my opinion unacceptable). Even their handling of a related FOI breached the legal standards of handling). 	
	(b) The policy and expressed requirements of the Authority should be overhauled such that all activities are assigned appropriate standards, is properly supervised and monitored and enforced if materially breached. The current policy clearly fails to do that in that we have had repeated and serious breaches of the festival noise curfew in the last 2 years (and previous years).	
	(c) The permitted levels of noise have not been 'managed ' by application of your current policy and the proposed policy does not differ	
8.	Traffic, roads and pathways The policy should take into account the use of helicopters for transport. For example at this year's festival there was significant use of helicopters to the festival site including during the night which caused disturbance. It should also be a consideration under 'sustainability' in your policy.	
	 Where there are alternatives, traffic to an event on the side of a community should not be allowed to : -deny the community normal access to their house and parking, subject it to large volumes of traffic, some of it quite unsuitable for the nature of the village roads. put pedestrians at risk. Your policy should categorically require that where there are alternative for parking and traffic flows they MUST be used. 	
	As we are encouraged to have active lifestyles the local authority should not be shutting down footpaths and bridleways for 2 months of the year in connection with a licensed commercial activity. Could you consider how this could be curtailed by your policy?	
9.	Security Using the festival again as an example ,people scaled the festival fence and making unauthorised entry to the festival site this year. The license should increase its attention to security including in the community.	
10.	Sustainability and environment. From this years festival there was evidently inadequate provision of toilets. Your policy needs to focus on pollution an hygiene.	

11. Crowd control Your should have a specific requirement concerning crowd control at large events. I have been dismayed at this absence in practice. Had the lack of crowd control at the festival been associated with a football match it would have been banned		
	Delegated authority required to continue to make minor textural changes as and when required	